

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/654,516 09/01/2000 Louise Farrand MERCK-2155 6056 23599 7590 11/03/2004 EXAMINER MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. OH, TAYLOR V 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. **SUITE 1400** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ARLINGTON, VA 22201 1625

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/654,516	FARRAND ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Taylor Victor Oh	1625
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 August 2004.		
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-16 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 6-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3-5,9,10 and 17-20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in A ty documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/654,516

Art Unit: 1625

Final Rejection

The Status of Claims

Claims 1-20 are pending.

Claims 1-2 and 6-8 have been rejected.

Claims 11-16 have been withdrawn.

Claims 3-5, 9, 10, and 17-20 have been objected.

Claim Objections

Claims 3-5, 9, 10, and 17-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Applicants' argument filed 8/09/04 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The rejection of Claims 1-2 and 6-8 under the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 has been maintained due to applicants' failure to modify the claims in the amendment.

Double Patenting Rejection

The rejection of Claims 1-6 and 9-10 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 5,723,066 has been withdrawn due to applicants' convincing arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated clearly by Coates et al (U.S. 5,871,665) has been withdrawn due to applicants' convincing arguments.

Claims 1-6 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated clearly by Coates et al (U.S. 5,723,066) has been withdrawn due to applicants' convincing arguments.

Application/Control Number: 09/654,516

Art Unit: 1625

Claims 1-6 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated clearly by Coates et al (U.S. 5,746,938) has been withdrawn due to applicants' convincing arguments.

Applicants' Argument

- A. Claim 17 is not directed to a composition, polymer or article belonged to Groups II, III, and IV, but is directed to Group I;
- B. The "polymerizable group" and the "mesogenic group" are definite and have a clear meaning in the art; the art of polymerizable mesogenic groups, particularly liquid crystal materials, is well-established.

Applicants' arguments have been noted, but the arguments are not persuasive.

First, regarding the first argument, the examiner has agreed with applicants' argument.

Second, regarding the second argument, the Examiner has noted applicants' arguments. However, the meanings of both "polymerizable group" and the "mesogenic group" surely cover a large number of possible groups; because of this aspect, it does read on the Reach-Through Claim. The claim expressly reads on the future "polymerizable groups" and "mesogenic groups", which have not discovered yet. In order to overcome this rejection, the examiner recommends to add the specific

Application/Control Number: 09/654,516

Art Unit: 1625

"polymerizable groups" and "mesogenic groups" to the claims. Therefore, the rejection is proper to be maintained.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taylor Victor Oh whose telephone number is 571-272-0689. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 1625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 10/3/104

Cecilia J. Tsang
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600